



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,984	06/30/2003	Kang Soo Sco	1740-000020/US	6983

30593 7590 03/29/2007
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. BOX 8910
RESTON, VA 20195

EXAMINER

ZHAO, DAQUAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2621

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/607,984

Applicant(s)

SEO ET AL.

Examiner

Daquan Zhao

Art Unit

2621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/2005.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph [0057], "Fig. 9" is believed to be "fig. 8" because there are only 8 drawing figures. In Paragraph [0002] and [0012], "Blu-ray" is believed to be "Blue-Ray".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claim 1 is directed to a recording medium have a "data structure" of angle change recording information within at least on e navigation area. The "data structure" recites in claim 1 does not meet the requirement of the IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics, which define the "data structure" as a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation function. Placing the angle change recording information in the navigation area is merely arrangement of data, which is nonfunctional descriptive material. When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, in a computer or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, it is not statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas, stored on a computer-readable medium, in a computer, or on an electromagnetic carrier

Art Unit: 2621

signal, does not make it statutory. See *Diehr*, 450 U.S. at 185-86, 209 USPQ at 8 (noting that the claims for an algorithm in *Benson* were unpatentable as abstract ideas because "[t]he sole practical application of the algorithm was in connection with the programming of a general purpose computer."). Such a result would exalt form over substance. In *re Sarkar*, 588 F.2d 1330, 1333, 200 USPQ 132, 137 (CCPA 1978) ("[E]ach invention must be evaluated as claimed; yet semantogenic considerations preclude a determination based solely on words appearing in the claims. In the final analysis under § 101, the claimed invention, as a whole, must be evaluated for what it is.") (quoted with approval in *Abele*, 684 F.2d at 907, 214 USPQ at 687). See also *In re Johnson*, 589 F.2d 1070, 1077, 200 USPQ 199, 206 (CCPA 1978) ("form of the claim is often an exercise in drafting"). Thus, nonstatutory music is not a computer component, and it does not become statutory by merely recording it on a compact disk. Protection for this type of work is provided under the copyright law.

Claims 2-15 are also affected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kikuchi et al (US 5,870,523).

For claim 1, Kikuchi et al teach a recording medium (e.g. recording medium-> optical disk in figure 3) having a data structure for managing reproduction of at least multiple reproduction path video data recorded on the recording medium (e.g. figure 30, column 19, lines 19-56, multiple angel reproduction of AGL-C_B#1, AGL_C_B#I, AGL_C_B#9), comprising: at least one navigation area storing navigation management information for managing reproduction of the multiple reproduction path video data recorded on the recording medium, said at least one navigation area having angle change recording information corresponding to each of a plurality of video data blocks (e.g. column 18, lines 44-column 19, line 4, angel change information is in the Playback Control Information data, wherein the PCI is navigation data, also see figures 27-29, and also see figure 25, column 18, lines 5-26 for data structure of the NAV).

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 1 above.

Claim 18 and 19 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 1 above with further limitation: a driver for driving an optical reproducing device to record data on the recording medium (e.g. figure 1, disk drive section 30); and a controller (e.g. figure 1, system CPU section 50).

For claim 4, Kikuchi et al teach navigation management information includes a presentation time stamp start point in a video stream to a corresponding one of said plurality of video data blocks (e.g. column 18, line 44- column 19, line 3).

For claim 5, Kikuchi et al teach navigation management information includes source packet identification information for corresponding one of said plurality of video blocks (e.g. column 20, lines 14-23, DSI contains ID for VOB).

For claim 7, Kikuchi et al teach navigation information includes video stream type information for a corresponding one of said plurality of video data blocks (e.g. figure 28, VOBU_CAT in the PCI_GI indicates the category of the VOBU, wherein the PCI_GI is in the PCI as shown in figure 27).

For claim 8, Kikuchi et al teach navigation information includes I-picture offset information pointing to an address of a last I-picture contained in a corresponding one of said plurality of video data blocks (e.g. column 20, lines 14-23).

For claim 10, Kikuchi et al teach angle change recording information corresponding to each of a plurality of video data blocks indicates whether an angle change is permitted (e.g. column 20, lines 35-65).

For claim 11, Kikuchi et al teach angel change recording information corresponding to each of a plurality of video data blocks includes angel change point information (e.g. column 20, lines 35-65).

For claim 13, Kikuchi et al teach the angle change recording information indicates where an angle change is permitted in the corresponding video data blocks (e.g. figure 33, destination address of angle cell number, column 20, lines 35-65, SML_ANG1).

For claim 14, Kikuchi et al teach multiple reproduction path video data are recorded in the unit of angle block which is referred by angel change recording information (e.g. figure 34, column 19, lines 5-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 3, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuchi et al (US 5,870,523) as applied to claims 1,4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18 and 19 above, and further in view of Tsumagari et al (US 6,556,774 B2).

For claim 2, Kikuchi et al fail to teach the navigation management information includes an entry point map. Tsumagari et al teach the navigation management information includes an entry point map (e.g. column 18, lines 58-60, and column 19, lines 26-67, also see figure 25, the M_C_EPI is considered to be the entry point map). It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Tsumagari et al into the teaching of Kikuchi et al to reduce the data processing time by having the entry point information in the navigation pack since these control information can be extracted all at once.

For claims 3 and 6, Tsumagari et al teach navigation management information includes an entry point in a video stream to a corresponding one of said plurality of

Art Unit: 2621

video blocks(e.g. column 18, lines 58-60, and column 19, lines 26-67, also see figure 25, the M_C_EPI is considered to be the entry point map).

Claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 2 and 3 above.

5. Claims 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuchi et al (US 5,870,523) as applied to claims 1,4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18 and 19 above, and further in view of Sato et al (US 5,884,004).

See teaching of Kikuchi et al above.

For claim 12, Kikuchi et al fail to teach the address of the last interleaved video unit. Sato et al teach the address of the last interleaved video unit (e.g. column 43, line 59- column 54, line 19). It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Sato et al into the teaching of Kikuchi et al to change the angle scene without reading unnecessary angle information (e.g. column 54, lines 1-19).

For claim 15, Kikuchi et al each reproduction path data are recorded as one or more angle blocks and the angel blocks are interleaved (e.g. column 43, line 59- column 54, line 19).

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion


6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Okada et al (US 5,905,845); Kaneshige et al (US 6,175,681 B1).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daquan Zhao whose telephone number is (571) 270-1119. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri. 7:30 -5, alt Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tran Thai Q, can be reached on (571)272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daquan Zhao



THAI Q. TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Tran Thai Q
Supervisory Patent Examiner